

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/817,085	03/26/2001	Johannes Andreas Zaat	NL 000160	9828		
24737	7590 06/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			PERRY, AN	PERRY, ANTHONY T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2879			
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A		Annlinent/al			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/817,08	5	ZAAT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Anthony T.	<u> </u>	2879	<u> </u>		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the reply alternative and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever n. a reply within the statur eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 April 2005.	·				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from cor					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accept o the drawing(s) b orrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) See mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Seer No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/817,085

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 4/13/05, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Claim Objections

The term "approximately equal to" in claim 6 is not defined by the claim, and the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree. Further, the Examiner notes that the term "approximately equal to" includes a range higher than the melting temperature of the material of the shell portion of the lamp cap. Depositing an alloy with a temperature higher than the melting temperature of the material of the shell portion of the lamp cap would defeat the purpose of the invention. For purposes of Examination the Examiner has interpreted the term "approximately equal to" to be within the range of less than 15% of the melting temperature of the material of the shell portion of the lamp cap.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 recites, "depositing a discreet solidified connection body of the alloy, comprising a limited volume of material at a temperature approximately equal to the melting temperature of the material of the shell portion of the lamp cap." The Examiner does not understand how the alloy can be a solid if its temperature is higher approximately equal to the melting point of the lamp cap.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,085

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGinley (US 1,650,289) in view of Timsit (US 5,190,596).

Regarding claims 6-12, the McGinley reference discloses a method of manufacturing an electric lamp in Figs. 1 and 2 wherein a lamp cap having an electric contact member (21) is provided and a current supply conductor (15) is positioned at the electric contact member (21). McGinley teaches depositing a discreet solidified connection body (26) of a limited volume at the current supply conductor (15) and the electric contact member (21). McGinley does not specifically state what type of metal the contact member of the lamp cap is made of. However, it is well known in the art to use lamp caps made of relatively inexpensive aluminum. McGinley does not specifically state what type of soldering material is selected for the solidified connection body (26).

However, the background section of the Timsit reference discloses that a eutectic mixture of aluminum with an additive of about 12.5% by weight of silicone is an excellent well known brazing alloy for joining aluminum to metal surfaces (col. 1, lines 11-28). Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have selected such a well known soldering alloy as disclosed by Timsit as the discreet solidified connection body of the McGinley reference so as to provide a secure reliable joint for connecting the supply conductors to their

Art Unit: 2879

respective contact members. By using such a brazing alloy only the surfaces of the members being connected are parts of the integrally formed brazed junctions since the brazing alloy has a melting point lower than aluminum. In the combined invention the discrete connection body and a surface of the contact member, and a different portion of discreet connection body and a surface of the supply conductor are parts of integrally formed brazed junctions. Tismit teaches that for assured selective melting of only the brazing alloy in the heating step, the temperature at which joining takes place is controlled to at least 30° lower (considered to be "approximately equal to") than that of the parts being connected by ensuring that the melting point of the brazing alloy be at least 30° to 40° C lower than that of the metal of the components (see col. 1, lines 26).

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Anthony Perry* whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2459**. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 9:00AM to 5:30PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-24597. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,085

Art Unit: 2879

Page 5

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35

U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be

addressed to [Anthony.perry@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO

employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly

set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and

Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Perry Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879 June 16, 2005

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879